THE NAVAJO NATION LEGISLATIVE BRANCH INTERNET PUBLIC REVIEW PUBLICATION



LEGISLATION NO: 0166-20 SPONSOR: Carl Slater

TITLE: An Action Relating to Naabik'íyáti' Committee; Reaffirming the Position of the Navajo Nation Opposing the Death Penalty and the Sentence Imposed in United States V. Lezmond Michell, Case Number CR-01-1062-PCT

Date posted: August 6, 2020 at 6:26PM

Digital comments may be e-mailed to comments@navajo-nsn.gov

Written comments may be mailed to:

Executive Director
Office of Legislative Services
P.O. Box 3390
Window Rock, AZ 86515
(928) 871-7586

Comments may be made in the form of chapter resolutions, letters, position papers, etc. Please include your name, position title, address for written comments; a valid e-mail address is required. Anonymous comments will not be included in the Legislation packet.

Please note: This digital copy is being provided for the benefit of the Navajo Nation chapters and public use. Any political use is prohibited. All written comments received become the property of the Navajo Nation and will be forwarded to the assigned Navajo Nation Council standing committee(s) and/or the Navajo Nation Council for review. Any tampering with public records are punishable by Navajo Nation law pursuant to 17 N.N.C. §374 et. seq.

LEGISLATIVE SUMMARY SHEET

Tracking No. ____0166-20

DATE: August 6, 2020

TITLE OF RESOLUTION: AN ACTION RELATING TO NAABIK'ÍYÁTI' COMMITTEE; REAFFIRMING THE POSITION OF THE NAVAJO NATION OPPOSING THE DEATH PENALTY AND THE SENTENCE IMPOSED IN *UNITED STATES V. LEZMOND MICHELL*, CASE NUMBER CR-01-1062-PCT

PURPOSE: This resolution, if adopted, would state the position of the Navajo Nation on the death penalty. Further, the resolution opposes the death penalty sentence imposed in *United States* v. Lezmond Michell, case number CR-01-1062-PCT.

This written summary does not address recommended amendments as may be provided by the standing committees. The Office of Legislative Counsel requests each Council Delegate to review each proposed resolution in detail.

reinforce discord within society.

30

- D. In 1994, the US Congress passed the Federal Death Penalty Act, which created a tribal opt-in provision for guiding the US Government's decision in whether to seek the death penalty in the sentencing of American Indians.
 - 1. The Navajo Nation has consistently opposed the sentence of death for prosecutions of its citizens by the federal government.
 - 2. At this time, only one American Indian tribe (out of 577), the Sac and Fox Nation, has "opted-in" to federal death penalty sentencing prosecutions.
- E. Since the Diné emerged into the Fourth, or Glittering World in Dinétah, they have exercised sovereign authority over Diné Bikeyah, between the Four Sacred Mountains.
- F. From 1868 to the present, the United States government has recognized the Navajo Nation's right to practice self-government over its land and its citizens, including handling many intra-Indian criminal matters.
- G. There is perhaps no higher expression of sovereign authority than the ability of a sovereign to deliver justice on matters between its citizens occurring on the sovereign's lands, including the sense of the sovereign before other sovereigns on matters of justice where other sovereigns have asserted jurisdiction.
 - While the Navajo Nation understands it has the inherent authority to settle
 justice issues between its citizens on matters occurring on its lands, the United
 States has asserted jurisdiction over many intra-Indian criminal matters on the
 Navajo Nation. The Navajo Nation possesses the right to intercede on such
 matters.
 - The Federal Death Penalty Act affirms and defines the right of the Navajo
 Nation to intervene and express its views on death penalty prosecutions of
 Navajo Nation citizens.
- H. The Navajo Nation has and will continue to express its opposition to the death penalty conviction of Mr. Lezmond Mitchell.
 - 1. In 2001, 20-year-old Lezmond Mitchell and a juvenile co-defendant, both Navajo citizens, were charged with killing two other Navajo people.

- 2. The federal government prosecuted this crime in the U.S. District Court in Arizona.
- 3. Although the co-defendant, Johnny Orsinger, was the primary assailant in the case, he was under 18 at the time of the crime and thus ineligible for a death sentence.
- 4. Mr. Mitchell, barely out of his teens, became the focus for then-Attorney General John Ashcroft's desire to prosecute this case as a capital crime.
- 5. On at least three separate occasions, the Nation formally petitioned the federal government not to subject Mr. Mitchell to a death sentence.
- 6. Marlene Slim, a Navajo citizen who is the daughter and mother of the victims, asked the federal government not to pursue a death sentence. **Exhibit A**.
- Even the local U.S. Attorney's office opposed capitally prosecuting Mr.
 Mitchell out of respect for the position of the Navajo Nation and its people.
 Exhibits B, C, and D.
- 8. Instead of respecting tribal sovereignty let alone the wishes of the victims' family or the local prosecutors' views the federal government in its zeal to seek a death sentence found a work-around.
 - a. The federal government prosecuted Mr. Mitchell for "carjacking resulting in death," a capital crime of general federal jurisdiction for which tribal consent was not required.
- I. The Navajo Nation acknowledges that peculiarities exist within the federal government's prosecution of Mr. Mitchell and these peculiarities compromise the integrity of Mr. Mitchell's death penalty conviction.
 - 1. After his arrest, the federal government abused the tribal court system to deny Mr. Mitchell his federal due process rights.
 - a. Specifically, Mr. Mitchell was held in a tribal jail for 25 days while the Federal Bureau of Investigation continually interrogated him. It was only after they allegedly obtained a full confession that Mr. Mitchell was brought to federal court, presented to a magistrate, and appointed counsel.

ı

- b.The FBI neither tape-recorded Mr. Mitchell's alleged confession, nor allowed him to write a statement in his own hand.
- c.In fact, in his only recorded statement, Mr. Mitchell fervently denies having a direct role in the capital offenses.
- d. Were Mr. Mitchell a non-Indian, the federal government would not have been permitted to use these alleged confessions against him.
- 2. There are strong indications that federal prosecutors worked to keep American Indian people off of Mr. Mitchell's jury and played to anti-Indian biases during the trial.
 - a.Mr. Mitchell was not served by a jury of his peers; he was convicted by a jury of eleven white persons and one Navajo person.
 - b.Mr. Mitchell's lawyers have sought for years to investigate these issues, but the federal courts refuse to allow them to interview the jurors

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- A. The Navajo Nation hereby opposes the death penalty against any of its citizens.
- B. The Navajo Nation requests the President of the United States to commute Mr. Lezmond Mitchell's sentence to life in prison without the possibility of release.